

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**Crl. Misc. No.M-3012 of 2023
Date of Decision:- 19.01.2023**

Anmoldeep Singh and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr.Satnam Singh Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

It is submitted that on the complaint of the petitioners, GD No.55 dated 14.09.2022 has been registered against the accused persons but the same is not being properly investigated. An X-Ray report in respect of petitioner No.2 shows that he has sustained a fracture in the little finger. Yet, Section 325 IPC has not been added. Accordingly, representation dated 17.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted before respondent No.2 but no action has been taken.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. Harkanwarjit Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice and waives service.

Considering the nature of order being passed, no reply is necessary to be filed.

Disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 17.11.2022 (Annexure P-6) and decide the same by

passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If any action is required to be taken, the same be also done within the said period.

January 19, 2023

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No