

CWP-1209-2023 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CWP-1209-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2023

Sukhdeep Singh and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioners

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

CM-19848-CWP-2023

The applicants through instant application are seeking preponement of hearing in the captioned petition.

For the reasons set out in the application and with the consent of learned State counsel, the present application is allowed and main petition is taken up for hearing on board today itself.

CM stands disposed of.

CWP-1209-2023

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to the respondents to count the service rendered as daily wage service for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by Division Bench judgment dated

31.08.2010 (Annexure P-4) of this Court in *Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab*,
CWP No.2371 of 2010.

3. Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)*, the respondent-authorities in the case of various officials have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgment of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statements made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No