

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1670 of 2023

Date of Decision: 27.01.2023

SADHU RAM

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that despite culmination of the departmental proceedings, criminal trial and arbitration proceedings in favour of the petitioner, the respondent No.2 has not released the retiral benefits to the petitioner for some undisclosed reasons.

Petitioner has also served a legal notice dated 29.11.2022 upon the respondent No.2, but the same has not been considered so far.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case his legal notice dated 29.11.2022 is directed to be decided by the respondent

No.2 in accordance with law within a time bound manner.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice dated 29.11.2022 and to decide the same by way of passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In view of above, the petition stands disposed of.

January 27, 2023

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No