

241

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

COCp-224-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.03.2023

Ranbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Jagdeep Singh Brar and Another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. B.S. Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.K. Khubbar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 19.04.2017 passed in CWP No.14337 of 2003 vide which while allowing the writ petition, the impugned dismissal/termination order of the petitioner was set-aside.

Later on, the said order was modified in a review application filed by the petitioner on 22.04.2019 vide which it was ordered that the order dated 19.04.2017 is being modified to the extent that the petitioner will be entitled for all consequential benefits from the date of termination and the petitioner be given arrears along with 6% interest.

Separate reply by the Additional Cane Commissioner, Haryana as well as respondent No.2 are filed.

As per the reply filed by the Additional Cane Commissioner, in para 4 it is stated that all the benefits qua Provident Fund, Gratuity, etc. have been released.

As per the affidavit of respondent No.2, it is stated that the petitioner had attained the age of retirement on 04.06.2014 and thereafter, all the benefits have been released vide bank statement (Annexure R-2/3).

Since there is a dispute between the petitioner and the respondents with regard to interpretation of the order regarding the consequential benefits, which according to the respondents have already been released.

In view of the disputed facts, no willful disobedience is made out.

Dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

02.03.2023  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No