

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2760-2023

Date of decision: 18.01.2023

Manpreet Singh @ Manna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks interim regular bail for a period of seven days in case bearing FIR No.283 dated 20.05.2020, registered at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala, under Sections 22 and 29 NDPS Act, 1985, enabling him to attend the marriage of his brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the brother of the petitioner is to be solemnized on 21.01.2023/22.01.2023, and that the petitioner has been in custody for the last two years.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of this Court, Ms. Ishma Randhawa, Additional AG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of interim bail to the petitioner, submits that recovery effected in the present case falls under the commercial quantity and there is one more case i.e. FIR No.292 dated

25.05.2020, under Sections 22 and 29 NDPS Act, Police Station City Barnala, pending against the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the case of the prosecution, recovery of 3500 intoxicating tablets and Clovidol 100 SR had been effected in the present case. The extent of aforesaid recovery of intoxicating tablets, falls under the commercial quantity.

The petitioner seeks interim bail to attend the marriage of his real brother. In the opinion of this Court, presence of the petitioner is not necessary at the time of the said marriage. A perusal of the marriage card (Annexure P-2) would show that there are two other brothers of the petitioner other than the groom, and his father and three brothers-in-law, who can perform the rituals. Moreover, the petitioner is also facing trial in another case of a similar nature.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

18.01.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No