

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRR(F)-77-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 02.03.2023

Arun

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pooja and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. G.S.Sidhu, Advocate and
Mr.Manvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghuman, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant appeal is seeking setting aside of order dated 15.11.2019 whereby Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Gurugram has declined the application of the petitioner seeking permission to cross-examine the witness.

Learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that he has no objection if the petitioner is permitted to cross-examine the witness subject to a condition that the petitioner will conclude his cross-examination on the same day or at the most on the next working day. He further submits that the next date before the trial Court is 04.03.202 and the trial is pending since 2018.

In view of statements of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 15.11.2019 is set aside with a direction to the trial Court to permit cross-examination of witness/respondent on 04.03.2023. The respondent-Pooja shall be present

in the trial Court on 04.03.2023 at 10.00 a.m. The petitioner shall make endeavour to conclude cross-examination during the course of the day and in any case, it shall be concluded on the next working day. On no account adjournment shall be granted to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.03.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>