

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2783-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.05.2023

SUNILPETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. O.P. Goyal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Parul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Akashdeep, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
467	17.07.2019	Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, District Rohtak	306 IPC, 1860

1. Seeking for quashing of captioned above FIR, on the basis of compromise, petitioner has came before this Court.
2. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, parties appear before trial Court and aggrieved person consented to quash the FIR and consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise.

3. State counsel has opposed to the present petition for the reasons that FIR is under Section 306 IPC, victim has been expired and it cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise with the informant.

4. Faced with this, Senior Advocate submits that he would be contended and satisfied if the trial be expedited for the reasons that all the material witnesses have compromised the matter knowing the ground of reality and trial is hanging fire since long.

5. Given above, the candid statement of the petitioner counsel, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial by 31.08.2023. It is clarified that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment and in case, the petitioner does so, this order expediting the trial, shall stand recalled automatically under Section 362 CrPC read with Section 482 CrPC without any further reference to this Court. State counsel is also directed not to seek any adjournment.

6. Petition stands disposed of with abovesaid observation. All pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

18.05.2023

pry

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No