

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3312 of 2021(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 16.03.2023

**Angrej Singh**

...Petitioner

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

...Respondent

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

**Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate  
For the petitioner.**

**Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG Haryana.**

**Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate  
For the complainant.**

\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J.**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case having FIR No. 306 dated 31.08.2020, registered under Sections 198, 406, 409 and 420 IPC at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, Sirsa.

The allegations in nutshell are that the complainant who was working as Area Manager in a finance company lodged complaint with the police that petitioner who was also working in the said finance company embezzled amount of Rs.5670/-, while another employee Shobika embezzled Rs.6,00,000/-, Manjeet Singh embezzled Rs.13,44,000/-, Jasveer Singh committed embezzlement of Rs.1,00,000/- and Kailash Kumar embezzled amount of Rs.24360/-.

Vide order dated 25.01.2021 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench interim bail was granted to the petitioner with a direction to join the investigation.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the petitioner is ready to deposit an amount of Rs.5670/- with the finance company against proper receipt within a period of next 15 days.

The petition is contested by the counsel for the complainant who submits that the petitioner being employee of the finance company where he was working, embezzled Rs.5670/- and should remain bound by the undertaking given by his counsel today in the Court.

The State counsel, on instructions from SI Tara Chand submits that in compliance of the order dated 25.01.2021 the petitioner joined the investigation and is not required by the police for the purpose of further investigation.

I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, the petitioner is not required by the police for any further investigation and the counsel for the petitioner has given undertaking to deposit the amount of Rs.5670/- with the finance company within next 15 days.

In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served by subjecting the petitioner to custodial interrogation at this stage.

Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case present petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 25.01.2021 is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and subject to petitioner's depositing Rs.5670/- with the concerned finance company within 15 days against proper receipt, failing which the prosecution will be at liberty to seek the cancellation of his bail.

**16.03.2023**

Jiten

**(KARAMJIT SINGH )  
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No**