

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(255)

CRWP-2287-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 28.03.2023

Matloob Ahmed ...Petitioner
Versus
State of UT Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. H.S. Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petition may not be allowed and the impugned order dated 17.06.2020 may be quashed. Liberty may be granted to the respondents to pass fresh order on the application of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection, if such course is adopted. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and impugned order dated 17.06.2020, Annexure P-8 is quashed.

Respondent-UT, Chandigarh is directed to decide the application of the petitioner afresh keeping in view the legal position that government is required to act independently while exercising its powers under Article 161 of the Constitution of India.

Pending application (if any) stands disposed of.

28.03.2023
Harish Kumar

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No