

Date of decision: 27.07.2023

...PETITIONERS

SURENDER SINGH

...RESPONDENT

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the respondent.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners have assailed the order dated 04.12.2019 passed by the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Narnaul vide which interim maintenance has been declined in the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C has been finally disposed of, maintenance has been awarded to the petitioners and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
4. However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of three months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No