

234

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2291-2019
Decided on: 20.09.2023

Gajjan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Aditya Partap, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Paramjit Kaur, Complainant in person.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
22	02.03.2007	City Barnala, District Barnala (Punjab)	420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Sections 13(1) (g), 13(2) of PC Act.

1. Petitioners, have come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC to quash the FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
2. State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amarjit Singh, submits that the present petition for quashing of FIR has rendered infructuous because after investigation a cancellation report has been filed for the second time.
3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that even earlier cancellation report was submitted but re-investigation was ordered and matter is hanging fire from the last 15 years, now petitioner wants to travel to Canada and pendency of this FIR is coming in his way.
4. Be that as it may, it is clarified that the concerned Magistrate expedite this matter and take a final decision by end of this year. Till that time, it is clarified that the pendency of this FIR shall has no bearing of the petitioner in any manner, whatsoever and shall be inconsequential till the final decision taken by the Magistrate.

5. Petition is allowed partially to that extent in the terms mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.09.2023
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.