

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRWP-547-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 27.01.2023**

Prinka Rani and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sunil Kumarj Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-113-2023

This is an application for placing on record the documents i.e.
Copy of rent agreement and identity card of petitioner No.1-Prinka Rani.

Application is allowed.

Documents at Annexure P-5 and Annexure P-6 are ordered to
be taken on record. Office is directed to tag the same at appropriate place,
subject to all just exceptions.

CRWP-547-2023

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3,
to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have
married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1
– Prinka Rani, aged about 27 years and petitioner No.2 – Savjot Singh, aged
about 31 years, have solemnized marriage on 13.11.2021, against the wishes
of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5. It has been

submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, to look into the representation dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2023

k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*