

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252

CWP-1432-2022

Date of decision: 01.03.2023

ASHOK KUMAR AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 to 4, to proceed in accordance with law upon the order dated 16.12.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.3 while deciding Kalandra proceeding under Section 145 Cr. P.C.

As per office report, respondents No.1 to 4 have been duly served while Respondents No.5 & 6 have refused to accept the summons whereupon affixation was done. They are thus ordered to be proceeded against ex-parte.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the prayer made in the writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to proceed in accordance with law on the order dated 16.12.2019 and to

decide the Kalandra proceedings initiated under Section 145 Cr.P.C. in a time bound manner.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has no objection to the same and submits that the proceedings would be decided expeditiously and preferably within a period of 05 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by the State counsel to conclude the proceedings within a period of 05 months, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners does not press the instant petition at the stage.

Disposed of as not pressed.

The respondent-State, however, shall remain bound by the statement so made.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 01, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No