

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CR-593-2023

Date of Decision : January 30, 2023

Siri Niwas and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Brahmo Devi and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present civil revision petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that while disposing of the interlocutory application filed by the petitioners-plaintiffs under Order 31 Rule 2 of the CPC, certain observations on the merits of the case have been made, which are going to cause prejudice to the petitioners during the trial of the suit and therefore, the said observation of the Court below may kindly be set aside.

Even though the present civil revision petition has not been pressed on merit but it is clarified that any observation made by the Court below while passing the impugned order are only treated to be restricted qua the passing of those impugned orders and the suit will be decided independently of those observation strictly on the basis of evidence, which will come on record, therefore, the apprehension of the petitioners that any observation made by the Court below while passing the order is going to cause prejudice, is without any basis.

As prayed for, the present civil revision petition is disposed of.

January 30, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No