

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-189-LPA-2023 in/and  
LPA-58-2023 (O&M)  
Date of decision:20.01.2023

Sonu Rani .....Appellant  
Versus

State of Haryana and others ....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, for the appellant.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that though the appellant was not a party before the learned Single Judge, but she has filed this Letters Patent Appeal, as the learned Single Judge failed to take into consideration the fact that the notification providing 10% reservation to the persons belonging to EBPB Category had already been withdrawn by the State of Haryana. He further submits that for granting appointment to the person(s) belonging to EBPB Category, in spite of the stay granted by this Court in CWP-18514-2016, the State is already facing contempt proceedings.

At this stage, when confronted, as to why the appellant did not approach the learned Single Judge and bring these facts to its notice, specifically when the writ petition and contempt petition, filed by the petitioner herself, are pending, learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is permitted to withdraw the appeal with liberty to file a review application before the learned Single Judge.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty prayed for.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)  
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

January 20, 2023/Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |

