

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

C.R. No.2874 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Order:07.10.2023

Shiv Rattan

.Petitioner

Versus

Satish and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Monit Pal Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. An application filed by the tenant under Section 6A of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1973 Act'), was allowed by the Rent Controller permitting the tenant to deposit the rent.

2. The amount of rent deposited by the tenant was released in favour of Baba Lota Giri. The petitioner herein claims that the aforesaid amount has wrongly been released by the Rent Controller in favour of Baba Lota Giri. In substance, the dispute in the present case is with regard to entitlement to receive the amount of rent.

3. The amount has already been paid to Baba Lota Giri. The scope of proceedings under Section 6A of the 1973 Act is limited to permit the tenant to deposit the rent if the landlord refuses to accept the same.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioner, if so advised, may avail his remedy against Baba Lota Giri.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 07, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:YES/NO

Whether reportable

:YES/NO