

220

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-2587-2023

DECIDED ON: 20th MARCH, 2023

ASHLAM

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Ramender Chauhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been invoked for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 27, dated 19.04.2022, under Sections 379-A, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station GRP Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, the challan has been filed by the Investigating Agency and the petitioner is not required for any further investigation in the present case and the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest i.e. 20.04.2022.

On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the factual position.

Considering the aforesaid discussion and also keeping in view the fact that the investigation in the present case is complete; no recovery is

to be made from the petitioner; the petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2022 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, the petition is allowed

The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything stated hereinabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20th MARCH, 2023
sham

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes / No