

221      **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-204-2020**

**Date of decision: 17.02.2023**

**Rajinder Singh Nagra and another**

**... Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**Satinder Singh and another**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:      Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Natt, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite the FIR No.99 dated 14.07.2004 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala against the petitioners was quashed by this Court in CRM-M-10561 of 2007 vide order dated 24.07.2012. The police officials were visited the house of the petitioners and causing harassment.

Reply by way of affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Kapurthala on behalf of respondent No.1 has already been placed on the record and as per the reply on account of an error in the list of proclaimed offender, the police party has gone to the house of the petitioners. Thereafter, error was corrected and a departmental enquiry initiated against police official ASI (LR) Sham Singh, who was earlier posted as MHC, PS Sadar Phagwara and he was found guilty and two years of his service was forfeited vide order dated 11.05.2021. It is further stated in the affidavit that deponent will make sure that no police official visits the house of the petitioners.

In view of the same, no further action is called for.

The petition is disposed of.

17.02.2023

*Anjal*

[ ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN ]  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No