

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

319

CR-3542-2010 (O&M)

Date of decision:13.09.2023

Ram Pal & anr.

... Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Santo & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR.

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. RS Kundu, Advocate,
for the respondents.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* at the bar that the main suit, out of which the present revision petition has arisen, has since been dismissed in default for want of prosecution under Order IX Rule 8 CPC vide order dated 09.01.2015 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sohna. In these circumstances, the present petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

On 25.07.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner had already produced copy of order dated 09.01.2015 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sohna vide which the main suit has been dismissed in default for want of prosecution under Order IX Rule 8 CPC.

In view of above, the present revision petition has become infructuous and stands dismissed being infructuous.

13.09.2023
monika

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No