

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CRM-M-2647-2022
Date of decision:- 28.07.2023

Prashant Kumar Verma ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
290	12.07.2021	Ambala Cantt, Ambala	406, 420 and 506, IPC

2. Upon instructions received from SI, Anil Kumar, State counsel submits that petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation. Still further, he submits that he is no longer required for custodial interrogation. He, however, submits that recovery of money is to be effected from the petitioner.

3. Heard counsel for the parties.

4. Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Dilip Singh Versus State of Madhya Prades and another (2021) 2 SCC 779* has held that neither petition seeking bail cannot be converted into a suit for recovery of money nor can any condition be imposed for deposit of the disputed amount as a condition for grant of bail.

5. In view of the above, but without commenting upon the merits of the allegations, petition is allowed. Order dated 21.01.2022 is made absolute subject to satisfaction of conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

28.07.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No