

124.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1040-2023

Date of Decision: 19.01.2023

JULFAN KHAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein has approached this Court seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, mandamus or any other writ, to quash the impugned notice dated 21.11.2022, Annexure P-6, whereby the respondents have refused to recognize the Certificate of Industrial Training as issued by the Global Institute of Information Technology.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has an ITI certificate as issued by the Global Institute of Information Technology which is duly registered under NCT & Ministry of Labour, Government of India An ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Institute. It is argued that it was on the basis of the certificate that he was given employment as ALM (Assistant Lineman) which certificate is now in question. It is argued that the certificate has been verified from the Skill Development and Industrial Training Department, Panchkula, whereby the verification of his qualification ought to have been done from the Ministry of Labour, Government of India.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Appearance has also been caused by Mr. Dushyant Saharan, Advocate, who is empaneled with the UHBVN and present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5, who would, at the very outset, submit that the petitioner herein has rushed to this Court without filing reply to the show cause notice dated 21.12.2022. It is submitted that 30 days time was given to the petitioner to file his counter, which has not been done.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the pleadings of the case.

Admittedly, the petitioner has been issued a show cause notice dated 21.12.2022 which ought to be replied to, within a period of 30 days.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that the reply will be filed within a period of two days and that in case the petitioner files reply to the show cause notice, the same be considered in accordance with law after giving an effective personal hearing to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner herein files reply to the show cause notice within the time prescribed, the same will be decided within a period of 2 weeks thereafter after affording an effective personal hearing. Till then, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

19.01.2023

sanjeev

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No