

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(229)

CR-1976-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : February 13, 2023

Nachhattar Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Shanti Devi Through Her LRs and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as there was no interim order passed in the present civil revision petition, the order dated 26.08.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Rent Controller, which has been upheld by the Appellate Authority vide order dated 05.10.2016 (Annexure P-3) has already been executed and the vacant possession has already been handed over by the petitioner to the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though she does not have any such kind of instructions but as the statement is being made at the bar by the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been rendered infructuous but liberty be given to the petitioner to revive the same in case the factual aspect noticed hereinbefore is found to be incorrect.

Ordered accordingly.

CM-6113-CII-2017

As the main civil revision petition has been rendered infructuous, present application also stands disposed of as infructuous.

February 13, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No