

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CR No.2300 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.02.2023

Sarla Devi and another

....Petitioners

V/s

Pawan Pal Kaur Sekhon @ Brar

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Paramveer Singh, Advocate, for the tenants-petitioner.
Mr. G.S. Attariwala, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Singh, Advocate, for the landlord-respondent.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his clients have not responded to his office communication and as such he does not have instructions after the decision of the matter by the Apex Court.

Learned senior counsel for the respondent submits on instructions from Rajan Pal, attorney holder of the landlord-respondent that in execution of the warrants of possession dated 23.03.2019, the possession of the demised premises has been taken over on 10.04.2019 and thereafter the execution petition was finally disposed of as having been satisfied on 26.04.2019.

It is apparent that the petitioner may not be interested to further pursue the present petition after the possession was taken over and much time has gone by since then.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 02, 2023
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No