

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHRSA No.250 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Order:28.11.2023

Subhash Chand

.Appellant

Versus

Haryana State and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, JC.M.No.550-C-2019

1. For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by the affidavit, the delay of 10 in filing the appeal is condoned.
2. CM stands disposed of.

MAIN

3. In this regular second appeal, the correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below has been assailed by plaintiff no.1. The suit qua plaintiff no.2 was decreed by the trial court. In substance, the appellant claims that he is entitled to the regularization of service. It has come on record that a policy decision was taken by the government on 29.07.2011, however, the appellant did not fulfill the conditions laid therein. It has also come on record that the subsequent policies issued by the government have already been quashed by the Division Bench of this court in Yogesh Tyagi and another vs. State of Haryana and others (CWP No.17206 of 2014, decided on 31.05.2018).

4. The learned counsel representing the appellant though made a sincere attempt, however, failed to draw the attention of the court to any substantive error in appreciation of the evidence. He also failed to prove that the appellant fulfilled the conditions laid down in policy dated 29.07.2011.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed.
7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 28, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :YES/NO
Whether reportable :YES/NO