

256

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1647 of 2018

Date of Decision:12.07.2023

Gurdev Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Gau Rakshak Mandal (Regd), Grain Market, Sangrur, through its Vice -
President Chuhar Lal.

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.

Present:- Mr. Tejinder Pal Singh Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

Present revision petition has been preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 06.02.2018 (Annexure P-2), passed by the Appellate Authority, Sangrur and for setting aside the order dated 07.11.2016 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Sangrur (Annexure P-1) vide which the learned Rent Controller held that the petitioner is liable to pay rent @₹4300/- per month from the date of filing of the petition i.e. 25.08.2015.

2. Heard.

3. In a nutshell, the facts of the case are that the respondent- Gau Rakshak Mandal (Regd.), Grain Market, Sangrur filed application under Rent Act for fixation of fair rent before the learned Rent Controller, Sangrur stating that the parties have a relationship of landlord and tenant and the disputed property (shop) is situated in the commercial hub of main Sunam-Sangrur Highway, Sangrur. The defendant is in possession of the property for the last 45 years but rent has not been increased and prayed for fixation of the fair rent of the property in dispute.

4. The petition was contested by the petitioner-defendant admitting the relationship of landlord and tenant but denying that the shop is situated in commercial hub of Sangrur and is on the main Sunam-Sangrur highway. Learned Rent Controller, Sangrur after hearing both the parties vide order dated 07.11.2016 fixed the fair rent @ ₹4300/- per month and directed petitioner-defendant to make the payment @ ₹4300/- per month to the applicant w.e.f. the date of the filing of the petition i.e. 25.08.2015. Aggrieved of the same the petitioner (herein) filed appeal before the Appellate Authority, Sangrur but the same was dismissed. Hence this petition.

5. On notice, the counsel for the respondent and petitioner jointly stated vide order dated 18.05.2023 to refer the matter to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court as both the parties are inclined to settle the matter on amicable terms.

6. Both the parties appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court on 04.07.2023. Report dated 04.07.2023 received from the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court, the same is taken on

record. On perusal of the report it transpires that both the parties have settled their dispute by way of an amicable settlement/agreement.

7. The learned counsels representing the parties are *ad idem* that the dispute *inter se* parties arising out of the present petition has been settled by way of mediation as per the report of the Mediator dated 04.07.2023 and they prayed for disposal of the petition in terms of the aforesaid report of the Mediator.

8. Consequently, as the matter *inter se* parties stood settled in the Mediation, therefore, in view of the statement by learned counsel for the parties, the present revision petition is hereby disposed of in terms of amicable settlement/agreement dated 04.07.2023 made before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the parties shall remain bound by their respective undertakings given therein.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

12.07.2023

Gyan

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No