

230

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2084-2015

Date of Decision: 01.12.2023.

M/s Amke Projects Ltd.

...Petitioner

versus

AAA Landmark Pvt. Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shaurya Khanna, Advocate
for the respondent.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (Oral)

Perusal of case file shows that earlier on 07.10.2023, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and notice to petitioner as well as his counsel was issued for today itself.

As per office report, notice issued to petitioner not received back either served or otherwise, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner was informed through e-mail. However, despite the matter being passed over twice, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present civil revision.

Therefore, the present civil revision for setting aside order dated 09.02.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Gurgaon stands dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

01.12.2023

lalit

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No