

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-2089-2022

Date of decision: 17.01.2023

Inderjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. C.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Affidavit of Gaurav Yadav, IPS, Director General of Police,
Punjab, Chandigarh, filed in Court today is ordered to be taken on record.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.86 dated 06.07.2021 registered
under Sections 323, 324, 326, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station
Sidhwan Bet., District Ludhiana.

On 19.01.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video
conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia
contends that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in this case; the present is a case of
version and cross-version; the complainant party
was the aggressor; the petitioner is not involved in
any other criminal case; the grievous injury is
attributed to co-accused Amrik Singh; the
petitioner is attributed only a simple injury and that
too on a non-vital part of the body of Kulwinder
Kaur and that the petitioner is also ready and
willing to join the investigation as and when called
by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 17.05.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.86 dated 06.07.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 427, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 added later on) at Police Station Sidhwan Bet., District Ludhiana, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as have been recorded in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 19.01.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

January 17, 2023
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No