

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRWP-404-2023

Decided on :16.01.2023

Amar Singh and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Amar Singh, aged about 32 years and petitioner No.2 – Simarjeet Kaur, aged about 20 years, have performed their Ist marriage on 10.01.2023 against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 4 and 5. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 10.01.2023 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, to look into the representation dated 10.01.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.01.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*

AMAR SINGH AND ANOTHER VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

This case has been listed in IOIN for carrying out correction regarding date of order in the order sheet dated 16.01.2023.

This case was listed on 16.01.2023 and in the order sheet, date of order has been wrongly mentioned as ‘15.01.2023’ instead of ‘16.01.2023’, and after signing, same has been uploaded on the webportal of this Court.

I have perused the file and found that only mistake is in respect of typing of date of order, as noticed above, which has occurred due to a typographic error.

Accordingly, it is ordered that the date “15.01.2023”, occurring in the order sheet dated 16.01.2023, be read as “16.01.2023” and after carrying out the correction, correct order be uploaded on the webportal of this Court after deleting the already uploaded order.

IOIN stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 17, 2023

Riya