

CWP-1022-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1022-2023

Date of decision: 18.01.2023

Sheelak Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the respondents to revise the pay of the petitioner (Radiographer) from 4500-7000 to 5000-7850 (PB 2) with 3200 grade pay, w.e.f.. 01.01.2006 in the light of notification dated 30.12.2018 (Annexure P-2), by declaring him at par with a Radiographer working in the Health Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that only pay scales of Radiographers working in the Health Department, have been upgraded despite the fact that the work/qualifications of the Radiographers working in the Health Department as well as in Shri Krishna Government Ayurvedic Hospital/College, are the same; that the petitioner, who is posted as a Radiographer in the Shri Krishna Government Ayurvedic Hospital/College, approached the concerned authority for revising his pay scale; that respondent No.4, vide letter dated 02.03.2009, requested respondent No.2 for removing pay anomalies of Radiographers working in

CWP-1022-2023

the aforesaid College with an observation that their qualifications/duties are the same as that of the Health Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already sent a legal notice dated 09.03.2022 (Annexure P-14) to respondents No.1 to 5, for redressal of his grievance, which is still pending adjudication, and that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.1, to decide the said legal notice.

Notice of motion to respondent No.1 to 4 only, at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr.R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 09.03.2022 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the aforesaid request, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 09.03.2022 (Annexure P-14) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Disposed of.

18.01.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No