

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. 233

CRM-M-1777-2019

Date of decision: 20.01.2023

Charanjit Singh @ Gurpreet Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. H.P. Singh, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sukhraj Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondents No.6 and 7.

Mr. Satish Goel, Advocate,
for respondents No.8 to 10.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.74 dated 03.08.2012 registered under Section 36(1) of the Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995 at Police Station Rampura, District Bathinda.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that after investigation of the aforesaid FIR, the police has found the contents of the same to be false and accordingly, prepared a cancellation report which shall be filed before the competent Court within two weeks from today.

In the light of the afore statement, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, it is made clear that the passing of the present order shall not preclude the complainant from filing a protest petition to the cancellation report as and when the same is filed before the competent Court and thereafter, the protest petition, if any, shall be disposed of by the competent Court, in accordance with law.

January 20, 2023
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No