

CWP-868-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-868-2023 (O & M)

Date of decision: 20.02.2023

Virender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Keshav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CM-2780-CWP-2023

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-7 is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

CWP-868-2023

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of directions to the respondents to appoint the petitioner on the post of Primary Teacher.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the advertisement dated 08.11.2012, the petitioner applied for the post of Primary Teacher in Ex-Serviceman BC-B Category; that the petitioner possesses the certificate dated 02.12.2011 in respect of passing Haryana Teacher Eligibility Test (HTET); that the petitioner was found meritorious following which his roll number had figured in the result (Annexure P-2); that selection for the aforesaid post was engulfed in litigation and

CWP-868-2023

ultimately, vide judgment dated 13.09.2022 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the litigation had come to an end; that however, HTET certificate of the petitioner was not considered by respondent No.2 and 3, and that the candidates who had secured lower marks than the petitioner, have been given appointment letters.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner had already raised his grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State, and raises no objection in deciding the representation (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Disposed of.

20.02.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No