

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(226)

CRM-M-2011-2023.
Date of Decision:-28.02.2023.

Arsh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The present petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.644 dated 23.10.2022 under Sections 354-A, 506 of IPC and Section 8 of the POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Sector 29, District Panipat (Haryana).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the entire story in the FIR is concocted and in para 8 of the petition, he submits that, in fact, the FIR has been registered by the father of the prosecutrix with an ulterior motive and this fact is very much evident that the father of the petitioner is running a *karyana* shop in the premises of the *Masjid* and the father of the prosecutrix wants to get that shop vacated.

On a specific query to the counsel for the petitioner to substantiate the pleading as to whether there was any rent agreement or any other document to demonstrate that there is any relation qua the parties, he

CRM-M-2011-2023

has submitted that there is no such document available. Even the other averments and pleadings demonstrate that the present petition has been filed in a most callous manner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the charges have been framed and the evidence is to commence from 13.03.2023.

In light of the above, the present petition is dismissed with a word of caution and request to the counsel for the petitioner to kindly go through the pleadings before filing such petitions.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

February 28, 2023.

Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No