

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1488 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Order:17.11.2023

Balbir Singh and another

.Petitioners

Versus

The Haryana Cooperative Joint Farming Society Ltd. And others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. S.K.S.Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Virender Gill, Advocate, for
Mr. Dharmender Balhara, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. This revision petition arises from the proceedings for the restoration of the civil suit which was dismissed in default on 19.01.2005. An application for the restoration of the suit was also dismissed. Yet another application was filed for the restoration of the suit which was dismissed. Through this revision petition, the legal representatives of the original plaintiff assail the correctness of the order dated 22.08.2013 (Annexure P-6).

2. An application under Order XXIII Rule 3 CPC has been filed on 25.08.2020. It has been submitted that on 21.02.2020, the parties entered into a settlement and a deed of settlement dated 21.02.2020, has been filed along with application.

3. As per that compromise deed, the revision petition as well as suits are required to be restored to its original number and the respondent i.e.

The Haryana Cooperative Joint Farming Society Limited admits the claim of the plaintiff resulting in decree of declaration in favour of the plaintiff to the effect that the registered sale deed executed by the plaintiff in favour of the respondent-Society on 06.10.1995, is liable to be declared illegal.

4. The learned counsel representing the respondent(Society) admits the aforesaid settlement and submits that the deed of settlement has been signed by the office bearers of the respondent-Society on the basis of the resolution dated 21.02.2020.

5. On a court question, the learned counsel representing the respondent is categorical that the settlement has been arrived at between the parties and the respondent-Society has no objection if the revision petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement deed.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement which shall form part of this order.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 17, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:YES/NO
Whether reportable	:YES/NO