

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2049 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 16, 2023

Ravinder Singh @ Bindi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate
for the applicant- petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)
CRM No.37884 of 2022

This is an application to place on record documents
Annexures P.5 to P.8.

Application is allowed. Annexures P.5 to P.8 are taken on
record.

CRM-M-2049 of 2022

Petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.39 dated
22.03.2021 registered under Section 302 IPC at Police Station Nangal,
District Rupnagar, during pendency of the trial.

Prosecution case is that deceased Baldev Singh was running
a shop under the name and style of “**Baldev Singh and Sons**” in village
Bhanam. His son- complainant Surinder Kumar used to help his father in
selling the grocery items. Darbara Singh son of Gurbachan Singh,
resident of the same village was running the grocery shop near the shop
of Baldev Singh. Accused- petitioner Ravinder Singh who is the son of

Darabara Singh, was having a notion that family of complainant was harming their family with the effect of black magic due to which petitioner was keeping a grudge against the family of complainant. It is alleged that on 22.03.2021 at about 07:00 P.m., when deceased Baldev Singh was sitting in varandha outside his shop, complainant Surinder Kumar along with his son Karan were working inside their shop. Complainant saw that petitioner – Ravinder armed with a sharp edged weapon came towards his father Baldev Singh raising lalkara that he will finish him today and then petitioner stabbed Baldev Saingh with a knife in his chest and fled away . The said act resulted in death of Baldev Singh.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that weapon used in the crime has not been recovered; that petitioner is in custody for the last more than one year and nine months; that trial may take time to conclude and so he be allowed bail. Learned counsel also tried to point out certain contradictions in the statements of the witnesses.

Learned State Counsel strongly opposed the bail petition by submitting that there is direct attribution to the petitioner in stabbing the deceased and that the eye witnesses of the occurrence have supported the case of the prosecution during trial.

Having considered the submissions of both the sides, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I find that petitioner is not entitled to grant of regular bail having regard to the direct attribution to him. The case is dependant upon direct evidence. The eye witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. Their

statements are to be appreciated by the trial Court at the appropriate stage and it will not be proper to minutely examine those statements at this stage. As such, present petition is dismissed.

January 16, 2023
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No