

SWATI AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of **Nandakumar and another Versus State of Kerala and others**, AIR 2018 SC 2254 and judgment of this Court in **CWP No. 31834 of 2019 titled as Megha and another Versus State of Haryana and others**, decided on 04.11.2019.

It has been stated that both the petitioners are major but petitioner No.2 has not attained the age for valid marriage. Though, the petitioners are in live in relationship but it has been pointed out that none of them was married at earlier instance. They are apprehending threat and danger to their lives and liberty at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 7, who are the relatives of petitioners.

Without dilating further, suffice it to say that the Court is primarily concerned with the petitioners' right to protect their lives and liberty against any perceived threat at the instance of third party as such right has been guaranteed to them as per Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

It has been pointed out that a representation dated 10.01.2023

(Annexure P-3) has already been submitted to respondent No.2.

Notice of motion to the official respondents.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day through email.

Without making any further observations on the merits or legality and validity of the relationship, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioners as projected through the representation dated 10.01.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and furthermore, take remedial action, if the apprehension of danger is real and genuine one.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the legality and validity of the relationship, or the age of the petitioners or with regard to any civil or criminal proceedings.

13.01.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No