

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-1806-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.05.2023

Ranjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. On 13.01.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr. P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 356 dated 01.10.2022, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sections 61/4/20 of the Excise Act at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has neither been named in the FIR nor was present at the spot even after the statement based on which the name of the petitioner was surfaced, the petitioner has not forged any document nor is the owner of truck in question. He is not involved in any other case as well.

Notice of motion for 08.03.2023.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 16.01.2023. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 13.01.2023 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C
5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

15.05.2023

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No