

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-444-2023

Date of Decision: January 17, 2023

Sushma and another	Petitioners
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents no.4 to 6 with a further direction to respondents no.4 to 6 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of petitioners, who are living in live-in-relationship.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 6. He submits that petitioner No.1 is widow and she has two children, who are living with her. They apprehend danger from private respondents no.4 to 6. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 04.01.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana, who

is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Ambala, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of live-in-relationship of the petitioners.

Disposed of

January 17, 2023
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |