

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

CM-7242-C-2019 &
CM-7243-C-2019 in/&
RSA-2636-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision :14.03.2023

Kiranjit Kaur

...Appellant

Versus

Dhiraj Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the appellant.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Present regular second appeal has been filed in the year 2019 and has been adjourned 12 times on the request of the learned counsel for the appellant.

On 13.07.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“A request for adjournment has yet again been made by way of an adjournment slip by the learned counsel.

The orders from 24.05.2019 onwards, when the instant appeal was taken up for the first time are being reproduced:-

"Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate, for Mr. S.C. Jindal, Advocate, for the appellant.

On request, adjourned to 06.08.2019.
Present: None.

Lawyers have abstained from work today. In the interest of justice, adjourned to 24.09.2019.

Present: None.

There is a written request for

adjournment made on behalf of counsel for the appellant.

Adjourned to 16.12.2019.

Present None.

In view of written request circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the appellant, adjourned to 03.03.2020.

Present: None.

Counsel for the appellant has again circulated an adjournment slip.

Last opportunity is provided for making submissions. Adjourned to 27.04.2020.

Present: None.

In view of the written request circulated on behalf of counsel for the appellant, adjourned to 13.07.2022."

A perusal of the above reproduced orders clearly reveals that despite last opportunity having been granted on 03.03.2020 to the learned counsel to make his submissions, he again made a request for adjournment on the next date of hearing. Today, as well no one has entered appearance on behalf of the appellant even though the case was passed over.

Adjourned to 21.07.2022.

It is made clear that in case there is no representation on the date fixed, the instant appeal shall entail dismissal for non-prosecution."

Even thereafter, the case has been adjourned on the request of the learned counsel for the appellant.

Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant, which fact clearly shows that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 14, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No