

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CRWP-413-2023
Date of Decision:-13.03.2023

Sapna Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Paul, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh
for respondents No.1 to 3.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The present petition was filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking directions in the nature of Mandamus.

Vide order dated 17.01.2023, counsel for the petitioner appeared and was apprised about the act and conduct of the petitioner, wherein, she had made a statement that she would be filing a separate complaint before Women Cell, Sector 17 Chandigarh. Subsequent thereto, on the last date also there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar, submitted that no complaint has been filed by the petitioner, even today, the situation is the same and the petitioner does not seems to be interested in the present petition.

CRWP-413-2023

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, the liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of her grievances, if any.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

March 13, 2023.
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No