

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-732-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.01.2023

Davinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr.Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Case has been called out twice, however, learned counsel representing the petitioner did not come forward to attend the hearing of the case. It is already 2:40 pm.

This Court has gone through the writ petition. The petitioner prays for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus to direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner with continuity, full back wages and seniority. The petitioner was employed as Tyreman. It is stated that his services were dispensed with, on 31.12.1986. It is evident from the reading of para 3 of the writ petition that the petitioner worked for sometime intermittently during 1985 and 1986. Para 6 of the writ petition reads as under:-

“That the Presiding Office, Labour Court, Bathinda without going into the details made the reference barred by time and proper representation was made within time and proper representation was given by the petitioner when his services were terminated and thereafter the petitioner

has been approaching the respondents regularly for job and regularly the petitioner is visiting their office, but no action was taken by the respondents authorities.”

The order passed by the Labour Court, Bathinda, has not been produced.

It is evident that the petitioner is out of the service since 1986. The present writ petition has been filed on 10.01.2022. Hence, it suffers from colossal delay. Consequently, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

13.01.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE