

CRM-M-2426-2022

Date of Decision :24.01.2023

BHUPINDER SINGH @ BHUPI RANA

...Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Section 439 CrPC is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner during pendency of trial in case FIR No.89 dated 16.04.2018 registered under Sections 302, 148, 149, 120-B and 216 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 148, 149, 120-B and 216 IPC 1860 added later on) at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition and would be satisfied if the same is disposed of by directing the learned trial Court to conclude the trial in terms of order, Annexure P/2 dated 08.03.2021 in CRM-M No.49371 of 2019.

[3] Learned AAG states that due to prevalence of Corona Virus pandemic, only 09 out of 38 prosecution witnesses have been examined but the State would take all steps to ensure presence of remaining prosecution witnesses on the dates fixed to enable the learned trial Court to comply with order, Annexure P/2 dated 08.03.2021.

[4] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of by directing the State to ensure that all steps are taken in accordance with law to ensure presence of the prosecution witnesses on the dates fixed by the learned trial Court while the learned trial Court is requested to take all steps in accordance with law to complete prosecution evidence as also the trial expeditiously, preferably within one year from today.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

24.01.2023
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No