

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107+246

CR No.18 of 2010(O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.05.2023

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Hem Raj and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Parul Aggarwal, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

CM-8052-CII-2023

This is an application seeking striking off the name of respondent No.2 viz. Gokal Chand, from the array of respondents.

Notice of the application to learned counsel for the non-applicants.

Ms. Parul Aggarwal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

It is pleaded that the present revision arises from proceedings filed under the East Punjab Urban Rent Restrict Act, 1949 against Naresh Kumar (tenant-petitioner) on the ground of subletting and non-payment of rent.

It is further submitted that earlier the demised premises was jointly owned by the respondents. In an *inter se* dispute arising in another civil suit between the respondents, a compromise dated 27.08.2009 was effected, whereby demised premises has fallen to the share of Hem Raj-respondent No.1 and as such, no title or interest of respondent No.2 is left in

the said property.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-tenant has no objection to the present application being allowed and the name of respondent No.2 being deleted from the memo of parties.

In light of the above and the reasons mentioned in the application, supported by affidavit, the same is allowed and respondent No.2 is permitted to be deleted from the array of respondents.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the parties further submit that during the pendency of the present revision petition, the parties have amicably settled the matter out of Court. In view of the consideration paid to the petitioner-tenant, he has handed over vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondent-landlord.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the main revision petition is taken on board and with their consent taken up for hearing.

CM stands disposed of.

CR-18-2010

In light of the submissions noticed herein above, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2023
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No