

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
130**

CWP-572-2023

Date of decision: January 16, 2023

RAVINDER KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Ajit Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 4.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 has produced an order passed by the Estate Officer, HSVP, Sonapat, wherein the claim of the petitioner has been duly considered and the reasons assigned for non-acceptance of the prayer as has been made.

What has been pointed out and highlighted is that the petitioner did not submit the certificate of Tehsildar regarding legal heirs of the deceased land owners (Ran Singh, Parsanni Devi, Ved Parkash) with complete pedigree table and no objection or affidavit from the legal heirs.

Copy of the said decision dated 13.01.2023 has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the relevant documents, as have been pointed out while rejecting the claim of the petitioner, would be submitted to the Estate Officer, HSVP, Sonapat, within a period of four weeks from today.

In case the said documents, as have been taken to be a ground for non-acceptance of the claim of the petitioner, are submitted by the petitioner within the time, as stated by the counsel for the petitioner, the same shall be considered by the Estate Officer, HSVP, Sonapat and a decision taken thereon with regard to the claim of the petitioner afresh within a period of six weeks of submission of such representation/documents.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

January 16, 2023
PJ

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>