

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(253)

**2023:PHHC:098720
CWP-980-2023
Date of Decision: 01.08.2023**

Mool Chand & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL.

Present:- Mr. Sumit Puri, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana for
the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL.J (Oral)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.2 to release the compensation/salary along with consequential benefits from the period 27.9.2019 to 15.9.2020 to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners in the present writ petition, the petitioners had given a representation dated 20.8.2022 (Annexure P-3) and they would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority i.e. the District Commandant is directed to consider the said representation dated 20.8.2022 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority would consider the said representation dated 20.8.2022 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a

period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority i.e. the District Commandant to consider the representation dated 20.8.2022 (Annexure P-3), in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioners independently and in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

01.08.2023

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No