

CWP-710-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-710-2023

Date of decision: 18.01.2023

Aastha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the respondents to allow the petitioner to fill in her online application form for the post of PGT.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that owing to her omission/mistake, the petitioner did not fill in the online application form for the post of PGT against the advertisement dated 27.12.2022, and that cut-off date for submitting the online application was prescribed as 12.12.2022, which was further extended upto 01.01.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner possess the requisite qualifications and, therefore, one opportunity be granted to her to submit the on-line application form for the said post.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A. G.

Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and submits that once

CWP-710-2023

the cut-off date for submitting the online application form for the said post has already expired, the petitioner cannot be permitted for the same at this stage.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It will be very difficult for the Courts to interfere into every decision that is taken on the Administrative side and fixing of a cut off date is completely out of the purview of a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution. The petitioner is trying to project her individual grievance and is seeking for the extension of the cut-off date. However, if such a claim is entertained, it will open flood gates and every eligible job aspirant who was not able to fill in the online application form, would approach this Court seeking for a similar direction and it will only end up in chaos. Such directions issued by this Court, will directly impact the further process of respondent No.2 in completing the recruitment process. It is too difficult for this Court to direct the respondents to extend the cut off date.

No other point has been argued.

In view of the above discussion, this Court is not in a position to grant the relief as sought for by the petitioner and accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed.

18.01.2023

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No