

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-417-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.03.2023

Durga Devi

... Petitioner

Vs.

Anita Saini and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the undertaking given by respondents No.1 to 3, wherein respondent No.3 was one of the guarantor.

As per settlement/agreement, the respondents were to construct a house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now the house has not been constructed completely.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on the basis of affidavit of respondent No.3, submits that necessary compliance has already been made and house has been constructed.

Since there are disputed questions of facts, which cannot be decided in the present contempt petition, same is disposed of, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

01.03.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No