

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 112)

CRM-M No. 1875 of 2023

Date of decision : 17.01.2023

Jaimal Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ram Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Ajay Paul Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks quashing of order dated 31.10.2022 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka (for short – the appellate court) through which while admitting the petitioner's appeal filed by him against his conviction under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 he has been directed to deposit 20% of the compensation awarded by the trial court within 60 days from the date of the order.

At the outset learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not challenge the impugned order on its merits and would be satisfied if the petitioner is granted some more time to make the deposit in terms of the impugned order. It is submitted that such request is being made on the ground that the petitioner is serving as a Home Guard and is supporting his mother and his family which comprises of two school going children.

After considering the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and finding the same to be reasonable the petitioner is granted two months from today to make the deposit in terms of afore order of the appellate court.

Disposed of.

In case the respondent is aggrieved by passing of this order, he is at liberty to approach this Court by filing an appropriate application.

17.01.2023

sunil yadav

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No