

CRWP-695-2023

--1--

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRWP-695-2023
Date of Decision: July 26, 2023

Virinder Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anil Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate for
Ms. Sunita Punia, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 8

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking issuance of necessary directions to respondent No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.3 to 8.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that private respondents are forcing him to enter into a compromise with them.

3. Since counsel for the petitioner states at bar that respondents are forcing him to enter into a compromise with them, in case any compromise is found to be arrived at between the petitioner and the private respondent, then the said compromise only take place before the concerned Judicial Magistrate who shall also record to his satisfaction that no pressure, undue influence has been imposed upon the petitioner in this regard.

CRWP-695-2023

--2--

4. At this stage, counsel appearing on behalf of private respondents on instructions submits that there is nothing to fear from them and they are not pressurizing the petitioner to enter into compromise with them
5. Given above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is disposed of as such with liberty reserved to the petitioner to approach this Court again in case need so arises in future. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

July 26, 2023
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No