

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-3645-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.09.2023**

Rohit Sabharwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Parminder Singh Gill and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. R. K. Selwan, Advocate for
Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupinder Khosla, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Bhavti Pujara, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. A. K. Bazaz, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

Mr. P. S. Khurana, Advocate &
Mr. V. K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the intervenors.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 17.01.2012,
passed by this Court in ***COCp-1299-2009***.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has referred to order
dated 29.04.2019, which reads as under:

“Reply is filed. As per the said reply, there are
total 12 encroachments. Out of the 12 encroachments,
encroachments No. 2, 7 and 8 have been removed.
Encroachment No.11 is a Government Primary School
where the respondents have not found to be a
encroachment. Encroachments No. 3 and 4 fall under the
jurisdiction of Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.
However, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana has not been

impleaded as a party. Similarly, encroachment No.10 which comprises of almost 750 Jhuggies has not been removed but it is stated that 13.31 crores has been given to the Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to rehabilitate these encroachments alongwith the families residing therein. It is further stated that the encroachments No. 9 and 12 are under litigation. The only encroachment that requires to be removed by the respondents is encroachments No. 1, 5 and 6 for which notices have been issued by the respondents and the status report shall be filed within ten days.

In view of the same, Mr. Kawalpreet Brar, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana is impleaded as respondent No.4. Amended case title be also placed on record.

Let notice be issued to the newly impleaded respondent for 23.7.2019.

Meanwhile the respondents shall also file status report qua the encroachments No. 1, 5 and 6.”

Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 further submits that in pursuance thereof, 750 houses were constructed and all the shanties/*jhuggis* were removed. Similar is the stand taken by learned senior counsel, who is appearing for respondent Nos. 1 to 3-GLADA.

In view of the above, no further action is called for and the present petition is rendered infructuous, at this stage.

Rule stands discharged.

25.09.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No