

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1617-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 01.08.2023**

Boby and others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate,
for Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate,
for the respondent Nos.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.341 dated 26.09.2020 (**P-1**), under Sections 147, 149, 188, 269, 270, 283, 341, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, '*the IPC*'), registered at Police Station Chandimandir, District Panchkula, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.07.2021 (**P-2**), entered into between the parties i.e. petitioners as well as respondent No.1.

2. Allegations are that the petitioners blocked the road and gave beatings to the complainant as well as to other victims.

3. The Co-ordinate Bench, while issuing notice of motion on the previous date of hearing i.e. 24.02.2022, passed the following order:-

“Mr.Vineet Sehgal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties. He has supplied Vakaltnama in Court, which is taken on record.

*In view of the above, the parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the genuineness of the compromise on **07.03.2022** or any other date convenient to the Court.*

The trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

(i) Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;

(ii) Whether any accused is declared as proclaimed offender;

(iii) Whether all the parties named in the FIR have made their statements regarding the compromise;

(iv) Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence;

(v) Whether the accused persons are involved in any other case or not; and

(vi) Current stage of the case.

*List on **10.05.2022.**”*

4. In terms of aforesaid order, statements of both the parties were recorded and a report dated 07.04.2022 has been submitted in this regard by learned ACJM, Panchkula. The operative part of the same reads as under:-

“The compromise between the parties appears to be genuine, voluntary and without any coercion and undue influence... ”

5. A perusal of the aforesaid report clearly reveals that the matter has been compromised by both the parties with their free consent, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence. Even before this Court also, there is no objection by either of the parties against the compromise.

6. Learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police officer present in the Court, also submitted that they have no objection in case the aforesaid FIR as well as consequential proceedings are quashed on the basis of the compromise effected between the parties.

7. In view of above, this Court is fully convinced that the offence is entirely personal in nature and does not affect public peace or tranquility. Thus, quashing of the FIR in question along with consequential proceedings, on the basis of compromise would bring peace and harmony to secure the ends of justice.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; aforesaid FIR along with all consequential proceedings resulting therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners. However, as a deterrence for the future, petitioners are burdened with costs of Rs. 2,000 /- each. Costs be deposited with Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, Chandigarh Lawyers Family Welfare Fund.

01.08.2023
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>